EXHIBIT 13

11/29/2016 Volume I

		1	
	LINITED CTATES DISTRICT COURT		A D D E A D A N O E O (O . 13)
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
3	X	2	CKADDENIADDO CLATE MEACHED & FLOM LLD
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, the States	3	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP. Attorneys for the Witness
5	of CALIFORNIA, COLORADO, CONNECTICUT,	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	DELAWARE, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII,	6	4 Times Square
7	ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, LOUISIANA, Case Number	_	New York, New York 10036
8	MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, 11 Civ. 0071 (PGG)	7	BY: STEVEN R. GLASER, ESQ.
9	MONTANA, NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE,	8	YOOSUN KOH, ESQ.
		9	
	NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, OKLAHOMA, RHODE ISLAND,	10	
11		11	
	TENNESSEE, TEXAS, VIRGINIA, WASHINGTON	12	ALOO PRECENT
13 14	and WISCONSIN; the DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, the CITY OF CHICAGO and ex rel. OSWALD	13	ALSO PRESENT:
		14	SARA M. ZAUSMER, ESQ. Novartis Services, Inc.
15 16	BILOTTA, Plaintiffs and Relator,	15	JOE BARRION, Videographer
		16	
17	-against- NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION,	17	
18	,	18	
19	Defendant.	19	
20		20	
21	November 29, 2016, 9:38 a.m.	21	
22	Deposition of DAVID HOLLASCH	22	
23	lipka.com, inc.	23	
24	888.lipka.com	24	
25	transcripts@lipka.com	25	
	Page 1		Page 3
	r age r		r age o
	A D D E A D A N C E C.		Navarah ar 00, 0010
1	APPEARANCES:	1	November 29, 2016
2		2	New York, New York
2 3	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	2 3	New York, New York
2 3 4	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	2 3 4	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good
2 3 4 5	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	2 3 4 5	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff	2 3 4 5 6	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street	2 3 4 5 6 7	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al.,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475 BY: BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the United
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475 BY: BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the United States.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475 BY: BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the United
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475 BY: BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN, ESQ. KIMBERLY M. JEFFERS, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the United States. MR. GLASER: Steven Glaser and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Attorneys for Plaintiff 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BY: JENNIFER JUDE, ESQ. SHEPHERD FINKELMAN MILLER & SHAH, LLP. Attorneys for Relator, Oswald Bilotta 65 Main Street Chester, Connecticut 06412 BY: EMILY C. FINESTONE, ESQ. CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP. Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019-7475 BY: BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	New York, New York THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's date is November 29, 2016. The time is exactly 9:38 a.m. My name is Joe Barrion, I'm a videographer representing the court reporting firm lipka.com, incorporated. Your court reporter today is Frank Bas, who is also representing lipka.com. The case before us today is the United States of America, et al., versus Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 11 Civ. 0071(PGG). The witness today is David Hollasch. Will counsel please identify yourselves and state whom you represent? MS. JUDE: Jennifer Jude from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the United States.

11/29/2016 Volume I

```
DAVID HOLLASCH
    Yoosun Koh from Skadden Arps Slate Meagher
                                                        1
                                                        2
 2
    & Flom, LLP., on behalf of Mr. Hollasch.
                                                                 A. Okav.
 3
             MR. GRUENSTEIN: Benjamin
                                                        3
                                                                Q. So, as you can see, the court
    Gruenstein of Cravath Swaine & Moore for
                                                           reporter is taking down everything that you
 4
    Novartis.
                                                           and I say, so I ask that to make his job
 5
                                                        5
             MS. JEFFERS: Kimberly Jeffers
                                                        6
                                                           easier you wait until I finish the end of my
6
7
                                                        7
                                                           question before you start the beginning of
    from Cravath Swaine & Moore.
                                                           your answer. Sometimes people anticipate what
8
             MS. ZAUSMER: Sara Zausmer,
                                                        8
9
    Novartis Services Inc.
                                                        9
                                                           I am going to say and start answering earlier,
10
             MS. FINESTONE: Emily Finestone
                                                       10
                                                           but just so he can have a clean record try to
                                                           leave a pause there in between my questions
11
    from Shepherd Finkelman Miller & Shah on
                                                       11
                                                           and your answers. Okay?
12
    behalf of the Relator.
                                                       12
13
             MR. GLASER: Jen, just before
                                                       13
                                                                 A. Sure.
                                                                Q. Okay. And please also give a
    we start I want to put on the record the
                                                       14
15
    agreement that we had that in order to comply
                                                       15
                                                           verbal response to every question, because he
    with the seven-hour limit you are going to
                                                           can't take down like head nods and shakes of
                                                       16
    take five hours and 50 minutes or less, I'll
                                                           the head.
17
                                                       17
    then take up to an hour, and then you'll have
                                                       18
                                                                 A. Okay.
18
                                                                Q. Okay. If at any time you don't
19
    ten minutes for redirect.
                                                       19
                                                           understand one of my questions let me know
20
             MS. JUDE: Thank you.
                                                       20
21
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the
                                                       21
                                                           that you don't understand it and I will
22
                                                           rephrase it, but if you answer it I am going
    court reporter please swear in the witness?
                                                       22
                                                           to assume that you did understand it. Okay?
23
                                                       23
    DAVID HOLLASCH,
                                                                     Okay.
24
                                                       24
                                                                 Α.
    called as a witness, having been first duly
                                                      25
                                                                     And if you want to take a break
                                             Page 5
```

sworn, was examined and testified

Page 7

```
as follows:
2
    EXAMINATION BY
3
    MS. JUDE:
 4
 5
         Q. Good morning. Please state
 6
    your name and home address for the record.
          A. My name is David Hollasch. I
 7
    live at 19 Holecomb Drive in Hillsborough,
8
9
    New Jersey.
10
          Q. Good morning, Mr. Hollasch.
11

 A. Good morning.

          Q. This is the first time we've
12
    met, is that correct?
13
14
          A. That is correct.
          Q. My name is Jen Jude, I am an
15
    Assistant U.S. Attorney at the U.S. Attorney's
16
    Office for the Southern District of New York,
17
    and I represent the United States in this
18
    lawsuit that we've brought against Novartis
19
    Pharmaceuticals Corporation.
20
             During today's deposition I am
21
    going to be asking you a series of questions
22
    which you are required to answer under oath.
23
    But before we get to those I am going to go
24
    over a few ground rules for deposition.
25
```

DAVID HOLLASCH

at any time just let me know, we'll go off the record. I just ask that you wait until you answer the question that's pending before we take a break.

A. Okav.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

22

23

Q. Okay. Great. Is there any reason why you believe you may not be able to respond fully and truthfully to the questions that I pose to you today?

A. No.

Q. Have you ever been deposed before?

Α.

15 Q. Have you ever given testimony 16 in court before?

A. No.

Q. What did you do to prepare for 18 today's deposition? 19

A. Just had a, two days of 20 meetings with my counsel. 21

Q. Which days were those? A. Yesterday, and I would have to

check the calendar for the other day. 24 25

Q. Approximately how long ago?

Page 8

Page 6

1

11/29/2016 Volume I

1

17

18

19

20

25

Page 165

DAVID HOLLASCH

- Q. So Mr. Hollasch, the bottom email, the last one in this exhibit, is an email from you to Cynthia Cetani, Beth Margerison and Marty Putenis, cc'ing Natasha Nelson, from March 11, 2009. And the subject is "Out of Office Program Attendees." And in this email you reference "our speaker program audits." Is that a reference to the 2008 audit of speaker programs?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you say: "Many attendees 13 did not indicate any degree, specialty or address, and they will be followed up on as well. Several of the auditors noted apparent family members of the speaker in attendance and the sign-in sheets for those programs did reveal a few attendees who clearly are non-HCPS."

Does that describe the results of the 2008 audit?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And below that you wrote: "Natasha and I believe the policy should clearly state that non-HCPs should be

DAVID HOLLASCH

- 2 A. I mean, off the top of my head, no. I mean, I sent it to Niral so he could go
- through and look up all of these people in
- Concerto and see if they had a profile for 5
- 6 them. Because a lot of these people, I mean,
- 7 we could do some of that, and we tried doing
- 8 that during the audit, but if, you know, say
- Dr. Jim Johnson brings Nancy Johnson to a 10 program, I mean, there's plenty of Nancy
- 11 Johnsons in the metropolitan area so I
- wouldn't assume. I guess we sent this list to 12
- Niral so he could say if there was, in fact, a 13
- contact already established in Concerto for 14 15 Nancy Johnson.
- 16
 - Q. Do you see the email above the response from Mr. Putenis where he writes: "I agree that we need to tighten things here, but the solution is not so simple"?
 - A. Right.
- 21 Q. Do you remember having any back and forth with Mr. Putenis or others about the 22 non-legitimate attendee issue at speaker 23 24 programs?
 - A. Yes. I mean, I recall Marty,

Page 167

DAVID HOLLASCH prohibited from attending speaker programs and sales representatives should be provided guidance on how to handle the issue when a speaker brings his spouse or office manager to a program."

Why did you come to that conclusion?

- A. Because there's no purpose, or there's no reason why they should be at the program. The program is geared to educate health care professionals about the product and how to use it, you know, in treating patients, and if you're not an HCP, what's the point of you coming to the program.
- Q. Do you see the bottom paragraph, where you wrote: "I will be following up today by sending the file of all the potential attendees at speaker programs who appear to be non-HCPs to Niral for Concerto lookup and I will let you know the extent of this issue."
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you know what the extent of that issue was?

DAVID HOLLASCH

- you know -- Marty was -- Marty was tough on --
- any time we tried to make change, you know, he 3
- was always hesitant, resistant. You know, 4
- 5 just one of those people, I guess, who didn't
- 6 like change or whatever reason. But, you
- 7 know, I tried to -- to me, I mean, it was
- 8 pretty simple. Right? There are people that 9 should be there to learn or people who
- shouldn't be there. The question that I agree 10
- with him that's not simple is you have a 11
- 12 program and then you're trying to decide which
- 13 HCP is appropriate to go to that program.
- That I could agree with him. That's not so 14
- 15 simple.
- 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Was there any change to the controls, system controls in place as they related to legitimate or non-legitimate attendees at speaker programs while you were at Novartis?
- A. Yes. Eventually there was a change to -- to, if I remember right, a couple of process-related changes.
- So one, there was what was 24 25 called an HCP matrix or degree matrix or

11/29/2016 Volume I

DAVID HOLLASCH

A. Right.

- Q. And it appears that she suggests that it be added, the sentence, "Ethics & Compliance policy requires that meals associated with interactions with physicians be provided on an occasional basis, and as such, frequent participation by these same attendees should be reviewed."
- A. Okay. I'm sorry. I've just been flipping back and forth. So I'm looking at the dashboard again. I just want to make sure it's -- so this is looking at -- and you're right -- this is looking at speaker event attendance. Your question again is?
- Q. Yeah. Do you agree with her, this portion of her email that her addition that "Ethics & Compliance policy requires that meals associated with interactions with physicians be provided on an occasional basis, and as such, frequent participation by the same attendees should be reviewed," presumably by the FLMs?

 MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection.

A. I mean, I think her -- I look

DAVID HOLLASCH

should be reviewed by either someone in compliance or a first-line manager?

MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection.

A. Yes, I agree it should be reviewed. Because the point is they're supposed to go there and learn about an event, and for them to go more than -- for them to go to an excessive number of programs is inappropriate.

Q. And how would you define "excessive"?

MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection.

A. Again, you have to define the criteria. Is it excessive per therapeutic area or per product? I mean, I know from my own experiences, in talking with speakers, talking with HCPs at programs, sometimes they like to go to a program, the same program with a different speaker who might have a different outlook on the product. It might be a better presenter. Sometimes they go to a presentation and they have a poor presenter of the materials. Right? And maybe they say, oh, this person's a renowned or a known, I

Page 241

Page 243

DAVID HOLLASCH

at the scenario check and the question there, and I agree with -- I guess her comment is, I think, asking for greater clarification in the edit to that, about how many people you're checking to look for. Right? It says check if the same speaker and/or participants are attending repeatedly. And I'm not sure if she's trying to say give them a number of how frequent, or -- I mean, she doesn't reference a number, but how frequent. I mean, I think this goes back to the comment I made earlier about occasional or a frequency. I mean, there has to be a number or something attached to that, that can be measured.

Q. Was there any number in Novartis's policies provided for frequency with which an HCP could attend an event?

A. I mean, first, I don't believe so. And then I think ultimately there was a number that was agreed upon, but I can't remember if that number was per year or per therapeutic area or per product.

Q. Do you agree that frequency of attendance of an event is something that

DAVID HOLLASCH

don't want to say expert in the field, but a
much greater known speaker. And they say,
well, let me see if I can learn from this
speaker. He may go to a more prestigious -or he may work at a more prestigious hospital
or clinic, or whatever the case may be.
Q. Do you think that there should

Q. Do you think that there should be a limit on the number of times an HCP can attend the same program with a different speaker every time?

MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection.

A. I think there should be -- me personally, I think there should be a limit on the number of times an HCP goes to the same program per year. Because if I want to go to a program in February and then I want to go to another program on the same topic in November, I might have forgotten about it, or I might not have used it. I might say maybe I want to learn about that again. I don't think there's an issue with that.

But if I want to go to a

But if I want to go to a program, the same program every month and I'm an HCP, the rep should say I'm not going to --

11/29/2016 Volume I

DAVID HOLLASCH DAVID HOLLASCH 1 1 2 give you another document to be marked as the 2 then we thanked her. Then Rich and I went 3 next exhibit, Hollasch Exhibit 13. over to the bar and, you know, we sat in the 4 bar area for probably about 15 minutes. I (Exhibit Hollasch GX-13, Email 5 think the program was set to start, you know, 5 6 chain, Bates NPCLSV LIT001574885 through 4887 at the top of the hour. I don't know if it 6 was marked for identification) 7 was 7 o'clock or 8 o'clock or whatever. But 7 8 8 Rich and I waited at the bar until about a 9 BY MS. JUDE: 9 quarter after. 10 Q. And this starts with 001574885 10 And then we went over to the 11 through 4886 with an Excel spreadsheet 11 table and we announced ourselves as, you know, attached, which is 4887. 12 12 employees from Novartis, and showed them our And do you remember conducting 13 13 IDs and said we're here to audit the speaker a series of audits in Tampa, Florida? 14 14 program. 15 A. I recall doing -- I conducted 15 And when we met the one speaker program audit in Tampa and a 16 participants of the program there was no number of -- or two -- two interviews the computers at the table, there were some 17 17 18 following day. It was related to an 18 appetizers at the table, there was drinks at the table, there was Dr. Serrano, his wife, 19 investigation of Dr. Serrano and some of the 19 and if I believe right, I think four other HCP 20 sales representatives who had hosted speaker 20 21 programs of his or had called upon him during 21 attendees. 22 their sales activities. 22 So we stayed for the program. 23 Q. And what do you recall about 23 One of the representatives ran out to their 24 the audit of his speaker program in Tampa? 24 car, got their computer, came back in and gave 25 A. So it was a speaker program 25 it to Dr. Serrano's wife. She booted it up, Page 261 Page 263 DAVID HOLLASCH DAVID HOLLASCH 1 audit that we did not give advance notice to, got to the slide deck and then gave him the it was done by myself and another member of computer, and then he started his presentation 3 3 the ethics and compliance department. His 4 through the materials. 4 name was Rich Eschle. And so we went to the 5 5 The rep sent a sign-in sheet 6 6 venues, and Rich and I, you know, I took the around and, you know, the program. 7 lead, and Rich accompanied me. I went to the 7 Dr. Serrano went through the slide deck and hostess and I asked what was the private room 8 8 asked questions and answers. At the end of 9 that Novartis had for this night for the 9 the program, you know, we talked to the representatives and then left. 10 speaker program, and the hostess says -- you 10 Q. So is it your opinion that your 11 know, she checked. 11 12 She said, I don't have a 12 presence at the speaker program was the reason 13 private room for you. 13 that there was an educational presentation that was presented later on that night? 14 And I said, well, do you know 14 15 who the representative is and, you know, where 15 MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection. 16 are they sitting. 16 A. I mean, in my opinion there 17 She said, sure, I'll take you 17 clearly was no intent to have a discussion. From the time they sat down until the time 18 over there. 18 that we announced ourselves there was no 19 I said, no, just tell me where 19 20 computers, there was no slide decks, there was he is, if you could just, you know -- and my 20 no -- the food with the meal had already been 21 back was to the room. I said, can you just 21 started to serve. I mean, the program was 22 give us a general idea of where he is and 22 23 where he's sitting. scheduled to start at 7 p.m. It was already a quarter after -- 7 or 8, I can't remember, and 24 As she said it, Rich could look 24 25 it was already 15 minutes into it, and usually and, you know -- so we saw who it was. And Page 262 Page 264

11/29/2016 Volume I

DAVID HOLLASCH

these programs, you know, they start on time, or start shortly thereafter.

But usually the first thing, you go into a program, speakers are usually one of the first attendees, goes early, sets up all the -- you know, the host representative may be there, put out some prescribing information pamphlets on the table. You know that the program is going to occur.

Q. Besides this audit of Dr. Serrano's dinner in Tampa, did you conduct any other surprise audits while you were at Novartis?

A. No.

Q. On GX-13, in Rebecca Miller's email on the bottom of the first page she writes: "David, I have filled out your spreadsheet for 2009 for the speaker. I have the HCPs that only attended once hidden, as well as the cancelled programs. I plan on taking a look at 2008 next, but let me know if you would like me to look at anything else first."

DAVID HOLLASCH

just move on to other things.

And then it was months later,

probably -- I mean, I'm guessing probably maybe eight, nine months later, it was like, you know that investigation we started on Dr. Serrano, we need to start that up again, and that's high priority, we need to get it done as soon as possible.

Q. Do you know the cause of that delay of --

A. No, I don't.

Q. And why did you ask Ms. Miller
 to count the number of times that these
 particular individuals attended Dr. Serrano's
 programs?

A. I think when I first started looking at the first couple of programs for him I saw there were similar attendees at all of the programs. So that was one of the things I wanted to look at: Out of how many programs we had, how many of the same people went to those programs.

Q. And why did you want to look at whether the same people went to Dr. Serrano's

Page 267

Page 265

DAVID HOLLASCH

And she writes, "Observations so far. Out of 34 programs in 2009," and then there's a list of several attendees' names with the number of times that they attended programs of Dr. Serrano's --

A. Right.

Q. -- which seems to reflect the results and the attached spreadsheet.

Did you direct Ms. Miller to

perform this analysis?

A. Yes.

Q. And why did you do that?

A. I mean, this was -- I can't recall -- I can't recall exactly how this investigation with Dr. Serrano started. I'm not sure if Natasha said to me, hey, pull all of the speaker programs for this doctor and, you know, let's analyze that. I do know at one point in time I was asked to pull all of the speaker programs in the data and start looking at it, and I had started doing that,

just the direction was don't worry about it --don't do any more work on it. You know, let's

and then I was told to stop it, and, you know,

Page 266

DAVID HOLLASCH

programs multiple times?

A. I mean, I thought it was a risk, or certainly an inappropriate, to me, activity for someone to go to 25 out of 34 programs that the same speaker held.

Q. Did you draw any conclusion about the appropriateness of Dr. Serrano's programs from the observations that Rebecca Miller put in her email?

MR. GRUENSTEIN: Objection;

vaque.

A. No, I didn't draw any conclusions other than that, you know, the attendees -- the pattern of attendees looked highly unusual.

Q. The spreadsheet that's attached, do you know where Ms. Miller got the data about these events that she input into the spreadsheet?

A. Yes. The data she got was all from AHM. I think I started this spreadsheet based upon exporting the data from AHM, and then most of these column headings I probably created -- I mean, they were part of what

11/29/2016 Volume I

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DAVID HOLLASCH 1 they do it. And one of the key things, you know, you do a walk-through of a process and take a transaction and walk it through the system, how it's processed, how it's recorded, 5 how it's captured. 6 7 And one of the things I always 8 directed them to is, you know: What are your 9 thoughts on this? Do you think it can be done differently, a better way? 10 11 You know, it gives process owners an opportunity to really vent, or 12 sometimes they have great ideas that get shot 13 down, and sometimes those are ideas that, when heard from an independent party, management's more receptive to, and then you can help push 16

Q. And if the company recognizes that there are ways to improve its policies, let's say, to benefit the company, does that mean that its prior policies were bad?

a proposal through that ultimately winds up

MS. JUDE: Objection.

benefiting the company.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No. I mean, to me it doesn't mean they were bad. You know, policies are

DAVID HOLLASCH

the industry, new to their jobs, they might beright out of school hired and don't know how

4 to do things yet, and they're trained by

5 people, and maybe they're not trained by

6 effectively. You know, you have an

7 opportunity to help them improve their

8 performance.

Q. Would you agree that Novartis's compliance function had experienced compliance personnel when you arrived?

A. Yes.

Q. Did that include Julie Kane?

A. Yes.

Q. Did that include Natasha

16 Nelson-Ling?

A. Yes.

Q. The issues that you identified in the 2008 audit, for example, that not all slides were being read in a speaker program, how were you able to identify that issue when experienced compliance people had not been

23 able to identify it before?
24 A. Well, I don't think I was the

only one who identified that. I mean, when

Page 307

Page 305

DAVID HOLLASCH

created at a point in time, and there's always opportunity for improvement. You know, it all depends on who created the policies and how often they're reviewed. You might have had people in charge of policies that, you know, have tight deadlines, and they do it fast and then it's done. Or you might have companies that spend an excessive amount of time going through, revising policies, and then it's issued, and then because it takes so long to get it changed they decide we're not changing it for another year or two.

- Q. After you did the 2008 audit you recognized that there were some areas for improvement, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And how did you recognize that there was that need for improvement?

A. I mean, just through -- through looking at the process, common sense. You know, sometimes things are not in -- sometimes people aren't given instructions on how to do their jobs, so they do the best they can, but, you know, again, they may be new to -- new to

DAVID HOLLASCH

the audit started, it started before I joined
the company. So I'm sure somebody else had
seen that issue as well.

Q. But is it fair to say that the way the company was able to see the issue was by performing the audit?

A. Yes. That's correct.

Q. Okay. I want to focus on this issue of not all slides being read at speaker programs for a second.

You testified that it was your recommendation that the slide deck should get shorter and that all slides should be read, is that correct?

A. That's correct.

17 Q. And who within compliance18 disagreed with that?

A. I mean, I don't think anybody disagreed that the slides were long. I mean, and it should -- I think the disagreement was about every slide needed to be reviewed or not. I think there was initial push-back or disagreement from Marty Putenis about, you know, the need to revise the decks as far as

11/29/2016 Volume I

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DAVID HOLLASCH

what slides need to be presented or not.

Q. And what was your understanding of what Marty's thinking was?

A. I mean, Marty's thinking was, you know, the brand teams and -- the brand teams I think who developed all of the slide decks, and the medical teams, put in there a lot to cover, and as long as the HCPs covered key parts, you know, that was sufficient.

- Q. Did you think that Marty was operating in good faith when he made that argument?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. Did you think that Marty was insensitive to compliance concerns when he made that argument?

A. You know, it's hard to judge 18 19 going back, that happened so long ago, but I 20 do -- I do recall, I thought he was being 21 unreasonable in that I think the requests we 22 made were valid and, you know, were trying to 23 help shorten the slide deck, make it easier 24 for the speaker, make it easier for the attendees and make it easier for everybody.

Page 309

DAVID HOLLASCH

2 anything.

> You testified that if you looked at the compliance policies in connection with the procedures that the sales reps had, that together it would be, I think your words were more than effective.

Do you remember saying that?

- Yes.
- Q. Tell me what you meant by that.
- A. I meant by that, if you're a representative and you're tasked with hosting
- a program and you want to say, all right, what 13 are the rules and guidelines that I need to
- 15 follow, and your district manager says, here,
- here are the compliance policies, read them, 16
- and then here's all the documentation related 17
- 18 to the speaker program system and the process
- 19 that's developed by the commercial support
- organization, read all of that and then talk
- 21 to me after that, and I'll walk you through
- 22 how to use the system and how to have a
- program, I think if the representatives read 23
- 24 all of that, I mean, myself, my team read it,
- 25 we went through, any questions we had we wrote

Page 311

DAVID HOLLASCH

Why are we getting push-back.

Q. And why did you think Marty was pushing back?

A. He's just one of those people I think that are resistant to change. Maybe it was his decision, you know, about the slide deck and, you know, he didn't feel we were appropriate to challenge his expertise.

- Q. The debate that you were having internally about the slide decks, in your mind did that have anything to do with whether Novartis was in compliance with the anti-kickback statute?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you think Marty was being insensitive to Novartis's compliance with the anti-kickback statute when he was saying, you know, you didn't have to shorten the slides or make the doctors read every slide?

MS. JUDE: Objection;

22 confusing.

23 I mean, related to the slides. 24 I don't think there was any intent of Marty, you know, to violate any kickback statutes or

Page 310

DAVID HOLLASCH

down, what does this mean, give me clarification, you know, I mean, it was easy 3 for us to decipher what should be done or what 4 5 shouldn't be done.

- Q. In Government Exhibit 3 you were asked a lot of questions about this document, and I'll give you a second to find it.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. The second sentence says: "I feel this way because there has been plenty of evidence to demonstrate that current policies and practices are inadequate."

How does what you're saying here square with what you just said, that the policies and procedures together were more than effective?

A. Because part of any process, right, there's a review, and our processes happen in how they're supposed to be. Here what's inadequate is there

was no review of activities that happened.

Right? So when we looked at speaker programs 24

and how they're done, right, the control

11/29/2016 Volume I

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DAVID HOLLASCH

2

6

7

8

11

14

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

25

A. I'm talking about a control that at the end of the meal somebody would -somebody is assigned the responsibility to review the receipt for reasonableness with what was consumed. So if you're the host representative you know that there's eight attendees, you know that there's eight entrTes, you know that there's X amount of 10 drinks, you know that there should be eight desserts, eight appetizers, or sometimes 12 there's appetizer platters, you know, but 13 within the details, you look at it.

Like if you're a -- and this is 15 the direction that we gave to the brand teams, to the business unit leads. You know, we're not trying to turn all the field 17 representatives into forensic accountants.

18 19 We're trying to make it reasonable. You go

out to dinner with your family, you have four 21 people. You make sure there's four entrTes.

22 You don't pay for seven, right? The same thing as when you go out to eat with your 23

24 family or your boyfriend, girlfriend, spouse.

The same thing applies when you go out to eat

DAVID HOLLASCH mischaracterizes the testimony.

BY MR. GRUENSTEIN:

Q. Did that second issue, sales calls, did that relate at all to speaker programs?

A. No. Sales calls and speaker programs are two different things.

Q. Okay. You testified that there was push-back when you recommended that district managers monitor speaker programs after the 2008 audit. Do you recall saying that?

A. I recall saying, I don't know if I used the term monitor, but I said review the records of their representatives.

Q. And who pushed back on that?

A. I mean, there was -- I remember talking about that in meetings, and a lot of push-back was from many of the attendees saying, you know, it's never going to fly with sales. You know, district managers are burdened with a lot of work already and this is just too much to add to that.

Q. During those discussions was

Page 317

Page 319

DAVID HOLLASCH

2 with the company.

> Q. So this was an issue with the financial controls?

> > A. Yes.

Did you have an understanding as to why Novartis would want those controls to be in place?

A. Well, when we want to save money, or any company wants to save money and not be double-charged, triple-charged or, you know, billed for items that weren't consumed.

Q. And that was your concern as 14 well?

A. Sure.

Q. When you were talking about the 2008 audit you said that there was a lack of basic controls, and the two things that you mentioned were first this issue of AHM being the one looking at receipts, and the other related to sales calls, people I guess keeping track of their sales calls. Do you recall that?

24 A. Right.

MS. JUDE: Objection;

DAVID HOLLASCH

there any reference to, if we don't do this, will we not be in compliance with the anti-kickback statute?

I don't believe we ever talked

about the anti-kickback statute. I mean, our concerns -- I mean, for that objection, my proposal to handle it was, listen, you know, they're not reviewing 60 programs a month. You know, each representative holds, what, two, three programs a month, maybe the top performers own five. And I, said you know what we're asking them to do? Pull the receipt, pull the sign-in sheet. Look at the two. Are they reasonable -- or do they match. Go through number of attendees, number of entrTes. Is there bottles of wine or, you know, 70, \$80 bottles of wine that might be perceived as lavish. Things like that. We said it's not, again, turning you into

reasonableness check. Q. And again --

24 A. I said if you spend ten minutes per program, that would be a lot.

forensic accountants. It's a quick